- 11			
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California FRANK H. PACOE Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARETTA D. WARD, State Bar No. 176470		
2			
3			
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 703-1384 6 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
7	7 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	8		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. VN-2003-1	139	
13	JEAN KENDRICK, a.k.a. JEAN MARION KENDRICK, ACCUSATION		
14	TO T		
15			
16	Vocational Nurse License No. VN 46457		
17	17 Respondent.		
18	18		
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	20 PARTIES	PARTIES	
21	1. Teresa Bello-Jones, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. ("Complainant") brings this		
22	Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Vocational		
23	Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
2	2. On or about January 1, 1971, the Board issued Vocational	ıl Nurse License	
2	Number VN 46457 (hereinafter "license") to Jean Kendrick, also known as Jean		
2		Kendrick and Jean M. Kendrick ("Respondent"). On December 8, 1976, Respondent's license	
2	was revoked; however, the revocation was stayed and Respondent was placed on probation for		
2	28 ///		

three (3) years on terms and conditions, as more particularly set forth in paragraph 11 below. 1 Respondent's license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought 2 herein and will expire on November 30, 2008, unless renewed. 3 JURISDICTION 4 Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 2875 provides, in 3. 5 pertinent part, that the Board may discipline the holder of a vocational nurse license for any 6 reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with Code section 2875) of the Vocational Nursing 8 Practice Act. Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that the 4. 9 expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary 10 action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or 11 reinstated. Pursuant to Code section 2892.1, the Board may renew an expired license at any time 12 within four years after the expiration. 13 STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS 14 Code section 2878 states, in pertinent part: 5. 15 The Board may suspend or revoke a license issued under this chapter 16 [the Vocational Nursing Practice Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2840, et seq.)] for any of the following: 17 (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the 18 following: 19 (1) Incompetence or gross negligence in carrying out usual nursing functions. 20 21 (j) The commission of any act involving dishonesty, when that action is related to the duties and functions of the licensee . . . 22 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 2519, 23 6. 24 states: As set forth in Section 2878 of the Code, gross negligence is deemed 25 unprofessional conduct and is a ground for disciplinary action. As used in Section 2878 'gross negligence' means a substantial departure from the standard of care 26 which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a 27

^{1.} Respondent successfully completed her probation on or about December 8, 1979.

competent licensed vocational nurse, and which has or could have resulted in harm to the consumer. An exercise of so slight a degree of care as to justify the belief that there was a conscious disregard or indifference for the health, safety, or welfare of the consumer shall be considered a substantial departure from the above standard of care.

(Cost Recovery)

7. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2878, subdivision (a)(1), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or about December 31, 2003, while employed as a licensed vocational nurse and on duty as the night charge nurse at Excell Healthcare Center, Oakland, California (hereinafter "facility"), Respondent was guilty of gross negligence within the meaning of Regulation 2519, as follows:

Patient 3:

- a. Between approximately midnight and 3:00 a.m. on the date indicated above, Respondent was summoned to Patient 3's room by CNA (certified nursing assistant) V. W. The patient had fallen out of bed and needed assistance. Respondent assisted V. W. in returning the patient to bed, but failed to assess the patient for injuries, failed to notify the patient's physician, family, and facility management regarding the patient's fall, failed to document the incident in the patient's chart and complete an incident report, and failed to monitor the patient to ensure that no other problems were developing.
- b. On the date indicated above, V. W. asked Respondent about reporting the patient's fall, documenting the incident in the patient's record, and notifying the physician and the patient's family. Respondent told V. W. that she was in charge and "would handle it" and

28 ///

instructed V. W. not to tell anyone about the incident.^{2/} Over the next few days, Respondent made repeated telephone calls to V. W.'s residence and cellular phone, reminding V. W. not to tell anyone about the patient's fall. Respondent subsequently denied making the telephone calls to V. W.

Patients 1 and 2:

c. Respondent took a capsule from Patient 1's prescription of Restoril 15 mg and administered the capsule to Patient 2, who did not have a physician's order for the medication (Respondent alleges that Patient 2 was agitated and needed to sleep).

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2878, subdivision (a), in that on or about December 31, 2003, while employed as a licensed vocational nurse and on duty as the night charge nurse at Excell Healthcare Center located in Oakland, California, Respondent committed acts constituting unprofessional conduct, as set forth in paragraph 8 above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonest Acts)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2878, subdivision (j), in that on or about December 31, 2003, while employed as a licensed vocational nurse and on duty as the night charge nurse at Excell Healthcare Center located in Oakland, California, Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, as set forth in subparagraph 8 (b) above.

MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION

11. On November 8, 1976, pursuant to the Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge adopted by the Board as its Decision in the disciplinary proceeding titled *In the Matter of the Accusation Against Jean M. Kendrick*, Case No. 638, the Board

^{2.} V. W. reported the incident to the oncoming charge nurse on the day shift, who, in turn, reported the incident to the facility administrator/director of nursing.

///

1	osts of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions		
2	Code section 125.3;		
3	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
4	DATED:		
5			
6	Firesa Felo- The		
7	TERESA BELLO-JONES, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. Executive Officer		
8	Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians Department of Consumer Affairs		
9	State of California		
10	Complainant		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	7		
18	3		
1	9		
2	0		
2	1		
2	2		
2	23		
2	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		